Government of Kerala കേരള സർക്കാർ 2007



Reg. No. ost nout KL/TV(NV12/2006-2008

# KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസററ്

## PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LII വജ്ച്യം 52

Thirnyananthapuram, Tuesday തിരുവനതപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

27th November 2007 2007 നവംബർ 27

6th Agrahayana 1929

1929 അഗ്രഹായനം ദ

വസർ

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

### Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

**ORDERS** 

rlj

G. D. (Rt.) No. 2774/2307/LBR.

Thirmmorethaparam, 12th September 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between (1) Smt. N. Kanakavatly Ammal, Proprietress, Amarcvatley Estate, Pempadanpaca P. O., Idakki Pin-635-556, (2) Sri Kumppayya, Superintendent, Amarcavatley fistate, Panabadampara P. O., Idakki Pin-665-556 and the workmen of the state referred establishment represented by Sri K. A. Mani, Sveretary, Mulamadu Plantation Employees Union (CITO), Pulivanumala, Idukki in respect of natters mentioned in the amorange to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is recently to refer the gold Industrial Dipute for adjudiention;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the industrial Disputes Act of 1947; the Government hereby dir et that the said Industrial Dispute he referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass he award within a period of three months.

#### AMNEROUNE

"Whether the denial of Employment to Sint. Leels and Smt. Janey, Worker by the Management of M's Amaravathy Estate, Pampadumpara, Idukki, is junifiable? not, what relief they are entitled to?"

G. O. (Rt.) No. 3133/2017/LUR.

This wag anthopurem, 24th October 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sivarajan, Proprietor, Oorasqualliyil Foundary Mandakkal West, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Quilen District Motor and Mechanical Workers Usion (C.1.T.U.), Kollim-13, in respect of masters mentioned in the anaexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for ad audication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial distance be referred for adjustication to the Industrial Tubunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award wishin a period of three months.

#### ANSWERSTER

Whether the denial of employment to Sri N. Sivadasan, Monthler by the management of Communicativil Foundary with effect from 4-3-2005 is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.), No. 3139/2007/LBR.

Thirusananthapuram, 24th October 2007.

Wherea, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, Kameo, Athani, 2. The Chairman, Kameo, Athani and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by 1. The Serretary, Kameo Employees Vederation, ATTUC, Athani-683 583, 2. The Secretary, Kameo Employees Union, CITU, Athani-P. O., Aluva in respect of maners mentioned in the amorning to this order:

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Inspainial Disputes Act of 1947 (General Act XIV of 1947) the Government bereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Erintkulain, The Labour Court will pass the award width a period of three months.

#### AMMERURIA

"Whether the 4 workers viz.

1. St. T. A. Mohammed (E. No. 854),

2. T. Aravindan (E. No. 853), 3. M. K. Synd (E. No. 858), 4. N. Rasaskrishnan (E. No. 850) are eligible to get the Goode Promotion from Grade I in Grade II after completing 4 years as per the realement duted 11-4-1988?

2. If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 3140/2007/LBR.

Thirurananthapuram, 24th October 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Letchmi Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki District and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulum Estates Workers Union (A. I. T. U. C.), Manuar P. O., Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Gentral Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ASSESSING

 "Whether the disminal from service of Sri Snikumar, P. F. No. 578 by the management of Letchmi Estate, Munnar is jumpable?" 2 "If not, what are the relich entitled to him?"

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 3141/2007/LBR.

Thirmmoranthagaram, 24th October 2007.

Whereas, the Governm at are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Rabek Balloons Pvt Limited, Rubber Park, Valay such rangura P. O., Itapuram, Economical P. O., 583 556 and the workmen of the above referred establishment Shri Rejs Poulose, Kalambataskudy Veede, Elampakappully P. O., Aimury in respect of matters meatsoned in the annexure to this order:

And whereas, in the mainion of Government it is becoming to select the said industrial dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers sonferred by receion 10 (1) (c) of the imbattial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulara. The Labour Court will pair the award within a period of three naroths.

#### AINMENURE

 Whether the denial of employment of Sei Rejo Punions by the management of Rubek Balhooms Pyt. Limited is justifiable or not?
 If not, what relief he is catitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 3152/2007/LBR.

Tarrasananthapuram, 24th October 2007.

Where is, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dopinion counts between The Managing Director, Rubok Balloona Pat. Limited, Rubber Park, Valayanchi angara P. O., Trapuram, Erumathala P. O.-633 556 and the weekman of the above referred establishment Shri V. H. Asharaf, Vendura Veedu, Valayanchi rangers, P. O.-633 556 on respect of matters mentioned in the annexage to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjustion;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by arction 10 (t) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government bereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernokulam, The Labour Court will pass the award water a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Sri V. H. Asharaf by the management of Rubek Balloon Pet. Ltd. is justifiable or sou?"

2. "If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

By breier of the Governor, Sury Expen, Under Secretary to Government.

The gara